

## 10. AIR AND CLIMATE

### 10.1 Air Quality

#### 10.1.1 Introduction

This chapter identifies, describes and assesses the potential significant direct and indirect effects on air quality and climate arising from the construction, operation and decommissioning of the Proposed Development. The full site description is detailed in Chapter 4.

The Proposed Development is sited within a similar footprint to the original wind farm site at Curraglass, approximately 5.6km northeast of Kealkill and 5.5km southwest of the village of Ballingeary in Co. Cork. The townlands in which the Proposed Development is located are the townlands of Cappaboy Beg, Derreendonee and Curraglass, Co. Cork.

The land uses and types within the Proposed Development site are a currently that of commercial forestry. As noted in Chapter 1, the Proposed Development site was previously an operational wind farm site. The previous wind turbines at the site were granted planning permission in 2002, and were constructed and became operational in 2006. The turbines were removed in June 2018 as they had reached the end of their productive lifespan. The previous development consisted of 10 turbines, with a hub height of 50m and a total tip height of 75m.

The surrounding land uses, and types, comprise a mixture of forestry, agricultural land, amenity (e.g. Gouganne Barra) and wind energy. The closest wind farm to the site is the Grousemount Wind Farm, which is currently under construction and is located approximately 4.5m north of the Proposed Development site.

Due to the non-industrial nature of the Proposed Development and the general character of the surrounding environment, air quality sampling was deemed to be unnecessary for this EIAR.

The production of energy from wind turbines has no direct emissions as is expected from fossil fuel-based power stations. Harnessing more energy by means of wind farms will reduce dependency on fossil fuels, thereby resulting in a reduction in harmful emissions that can be damaging to human health and the environment. Some minor short term or temporary indirect emissions associated with the construction of the Proposed Development include vehicular and dust emissions. Emissions from the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the project are addressed in Section 10.1.5.

#### 10.1.1.1 Relevant Guidance

The air quality and climate section of this EIAR is carried out in accordance with the 'EIA Directive' as amended by Directive 2014/52/EU and having regard, where relevant, to guidance listed in Section 1.7.2 of Chapter 1: Introduction.

#### 10.1.1.2 Statement of Authority

This chapter of the EIAR was completed by David Naughton and Órla Murphy and reviewed by Michael Watson, of MKO. David is an Environmental Scientist with over three years of consultancy experience with MKO and has prepared EIAR chapters for a number of wind energy EIAR applications in that time. David holds a BSc (Hons) in Environmental Science. Órla is a Project Environmental Scientist with over 4 years' experience in the environmental sector where she has acted as Project Manager for a number of EIAR applications for wind energy developments, compiling numerous chapters including chapters on Air and Climate. Órla holds a BSc. in Geography and MSc. in Environmental Protection and Management. Michael Watson is a Project Director with MKO; with over 18 years' experience in the environmental sector. His project experience includes the management and productions of Environmental Impact Statements (EISs)/EIARs, particularly within the wind energy sector.

## 10.1.2 Air Quality Standards

In 1996, the Air Quality Framework Directive (96/62/EC) was published. This Directive was transposed into Irish law by the Environmental Protection Agency Act 1992 (Ambient Air Quality Assessment and Management) Regulations 1999. The Directive was followed by four Daughter Directives, which set out limit values for specific pollutants:

- The first Daughter Directive (1999/30/EC) addresses sulphur dioxide, oxides of nitrogen, particulate matter and lead.
- The second Daughter Directive (2000/69/EC) addresses carbon monoxide and benzene. The first two Daughter Directives were transposed into Irish law by the Air Quality Standards Regulations 2002 (SI No. 271 of 2002).
- The third Daughter Directive, Council Directive (2002/3/EC) relating to ozone was published in 2002 and was transposed into Irish law by the Ozone in Ambient Air Regulations 2004 (SI No. 53 of 2004).
- The fourth Daughter Directive, published in 2007, relates to polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), arsenic, nickel, cadmium and mercury in ambient air and was transposed into Irish law by the Arsenic, Cadmium, Mercury, Nickel and Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Ambient Air Regulations, 2009 (S.I. No. 58 of 2009).

The Air Quality Framework Directive and the first three Daughter Directives have been replaced by the Clean Air for Europe (CAFE) Directive (Directive 2008/50/EC on ambient air quality), which encompasses the following elements:

- The merging of most of the existing legislation into a single Directive (except for the Fourth Daughter Directive) with no change to existing air quality objectives.
- New air quality objectives for PM<sub>2.5</sub> (fine particles) including the limit value and exposure concentration reduction target.
- The possibility to discount natural sources of pollution when assessing compliance against limit values.
- The possibility for time extensions of three years (for particulate matter PM<sub>10</sub>) or up to five years (nitrogen dioxide, benzene) for complying with limit values, based on conditions and the assessment by the European Commission.

Table 10-1 below sets out the limit values of the CAFE Directive, as derived from the Air Quality Framework Daughter Directives. Limit values are presented in micrograms per cubic metre ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) and parts per billion (ppb). The notation PM<sub>10</sub> is used to describe particulate matter or particles of ten micrometres or less in aerodynamic diameter. PM<sub>2.5</sub> represents particles measuring less than 2.5 micrometres in aerodynamic diameter.

The CAFE Directive was transposed into Irish legislation by the Air Quality Standards Regulations 2011 (S.I. No. 180 of 2011). These Regulations supersede the Air Quality Standards Regulations 2002 (S.I. No. 271 of 2002), the Ozone in Ambient Air Regulations 2004 (S.I. No. 53 of 2004) and the Ambient Air Quality Assessment and Management Regulations 1999 (S.I. No. 33 of 1999).

Table 10-1 Limit values of Directive 2008/50/EC, 1999/30/EC and 2000/69/EC (Source: <https://www.epa.ie/air/quality/standards/>)

Pollutant	Limit Value Objective	Averaging Period	Limit Value ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Value (ppb)	Basis of Application of Limit Value	Attainment Date
Sulphur dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )	Protection of Human Health	1 hour	350	132	Not to be exceeded more than 24 times in a calendar year	1st Jan 2005

Pollutant	Limit Value Objective	Averaging Period	Limit Value ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Value (ppb)	Basis of Application of Limit Value	Attainment Date
Sulphur dioxide ( $\text{SO}_2$ )	Protection of human health	24 hours	125	47	Not to be exceeded more than 3 times in a calendar year	1st Jan 2005
Sulphur dioxide ( $\text{SO}_2$ )	Protection of vegetation	Calendar year	20	7.5	Annual mean	19th Jul 2001
Sulphur dioxide ( $\text{SO}_2$ )	Protection of vegetation	1st Oct to 31st Mar	20	7.5	Winter mean	19th Jul 2001
Nitrogen dioxide ( $\text{NO}_2$ )	Protection of human health	1 hour	200	105	Not to be exceeded more than 18 times in a calendar year	1st Jan 2010
Nitrogen dioxide ( $\text{NO}_2$ )	Protection of human health	Calendar year	40	21	Annual mean	1st Jan 2010
Nitrogen monoxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide ( $\text{NO}_2$ )	Protection of ecosystems	Calendar year	30	16	Annual mean	19th Jul 2001
Particulate matter 10 ( $\text{PM}_{10}$ )	Protection of human health	24 hours	50	-	Not to be exceeded more than 35 times in a calendar year	1st Jan 2005
Particulate matter 2.5 ( $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ )	Protection of human health	Calendar year	40	-	Annual mean	1st Jan 2005
Particulate matter 2.5 ( $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ) Stage 1	Protection of human health	Calendar year	25	-	Annual mean	1st Jan 2015
Particulate matter 2.5 ( $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ) Stage 2	Protection of human health	Calendar year	20	-	Annual mean	1st Jan 2020

Pollutant	Limit Value Objective	Averaging Period	Limit Value ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Value (ppb)	Basis of Application of Limit Value	Attainment Date
Lead (Pb)	Protection of human health	Calendar year	0.5	-	Annual mean	1st Jan 2005
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Protection of human health	8 hours	10,000	8,620	-	1st Jan 2005
Benzene ( $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6$ )	Protection of human health	Calendar Year	5	1.5	-	1st Jan 2010

The Ozone Daughter Directive 2002/3/EC is different from the other Daughter Directives in that it sets target values and long-term objectives for ozone rather than limit values. Table 10-2 presents the limit and target values for ozone.

Table 10-2 Target values for Ozone Defined in Directive 2008/50/EC

Objective	Parameter	Target Value for 2010	Target Value for 2020
Protection of human health	Maximum daily 8-hour mean	120 $\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ not to be exceeded more than 25 days per calendar year averaged over 3 years	120 $\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$
Protection of vegetation	AOT40* calculated from 1-hour values from May to July	18,000 $\text{mg}/\text{m}^3\cdot\text{h}$ averaged over 5 years	6,000 $\text{mg}/\text{m}^3\cdot\text{h}$
Information Threshold	1-hour average	180 $\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$	-
Alert Threshold	1-hour average	240 $\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$	-

\* AOT40 is a measure of the overall exposure of plants to ozone. It is the sum of the differences between hourly ozone concentration and 40 ppb for each hour when the concentration exceeds 40 ppb during a relevant growing season, e.g. for forest and crops.

### 10.1.2.1 Air Quality and Health

The EPA report 'Air Quality in Ireland 2018' noted that in Ireland, the premature deaths attributable to poor air quality are estimated at 1,180 people. A more recent European Environmental Agency (EEA) Report, 'Air Quality in Europe - 2019 Report' highlights the negative effects of air pollution on human health. The report assessed that poor air quality accounted for premature deaths of approximately 412,000 people in Europe in 2016, with regards to deaths relating to  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ . The estimated impacts on the population in Europe of exposure to  $\text{NO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_3$  concentrations in 2016 were around 71,000 and 15,100 premature deaths per year, respectively. From this, 1,100 Irish deaths were attributable to fine particulate matter ( $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ), 50 Irish deaths were attributable to nitrogen oxides ( $\text{NO}_x$ ) and 30 Irish deaths were attributable to Ozone ( $\text{O}_3$ ) (Source: 'Air Quality in Europe - 2019 Report', EEA, 2019). These emissions, along with others including sulphur oxides ( $\text{SO}_x$ ) are produced during fossil fuel-based electricity generation in various amounts, depending on the fuel and technology used.

<sup>1</sup> EPA (2019). Air Quality in Ireland 2018 - Key Indicators of Ambient Air Quality, <https://www.epa.ie/pubs/reports/air/quality/epaaairqualityreport2018.html>

### 10.1.3 Air Quality Zones

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has designated four Air Quality Zones for Ireland:

- > Zone A: Dublin City and environs
- > Zone B: Cork City and environs
- > Zone C: 16 urban areas with population greater than 15,000
- > Zone D: Remainder of the country.

These zones were defined to meet the criteria for air quality monitoring, assessment and management described in the Framework Directive and Daughter Directives. The site of the Proposed Development lies within Zone D, which represents rural areas located away from large population centres.

### 10.1.4 Existing Environment

The EPA publishes Air Monitoring Station Reports for monitoring locations in all four Air Quality Zones. EPA air quality data is available for Cork in the report ‘*Ambient Air Monitoring at Cork Harbour, Co. Cork 31st August 2007 - 15th March 2008*’, located approximately 67 kilometres east of the Proposed Development. More recent monitoring data for some parameters has been carried out at the South Link Road in Cork City and also at Cork Institute of Technology (CIT), Bishopstown, Co. Cork. The ambient air quality monitoring carried out closest to the Proposed Development site is at Cork Institute of Technology (CIT) Bishopstown Co. Cork, located approximately 53 kilometres east of the site. These monitoring locations lie within Zone B. Lower measurement values for all air quality parameters would be expected for the Proposed Development site as it lies in a rural location, within Zone D.

The sample forestry replanting lands in Co. Clare and Co. Roscommon are also located within Zone D and are fully described in the Assessment of Replanting Lands report included as Appendix 4-2 of this EIAR. The report also assesses the potential effects of replanting on air quality and climate within the vicinity of the replanting land.

#### 10.1.4.1 Sulphur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>)

Sulphur Dioxide data for CIT, Bishopstown, Co. Cork, located approximately 53 kilometres east of the site, for 2018 is presented in Table 10-3. Neither the hourly limit value (350 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) nor lower assessment threshold (50 µg/m<sup>3</sup> Daily Limit) set out in the CAFE Directive were exceeded during the monitoring period.

Table 10-3 Sulphur Dioxide Data for CIT in 2018

Parameter	Measurement
No. of hours	8,760
No. of measured values	4,830
Percentage Coverage	55.1%
Maximum hourly value	18.09 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
98 percentile for hourly values	5.9 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
Mean hourly value	2.1 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
Maximum 24-hour mean	6.0 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
98 percentile for 24-hour mean	4.8 µg/m <sup>3</sup>

#### 10.1.4.2 Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>)

Particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) data for the 2018 monitoring period in South Link Road, located approximately 58km east of the site, is presented in Table 10-4. The 24-hour limit value for the protection of human health (50 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) was not exceeded during the measurement period. The upper assessment threshold (30 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) was exceeded on 20 days and the lower assessment threshold (20 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) was exceeded on 96 days. The CAFE Directive stipulates that these assessment thresholds should not be exceeded more than 35 times in a calendar year. The mean of the daily values during the measurement period is below the annual limit value for the protection of human health (40 µg/m<sup>3</sup>).

Table 10-4 Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) Data South Link Road 2018

Parameter	Measurement
No. of days	365
No. of measured values	359
Percentage Coverage	98%
Maximum daily value	48 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
Mean daily value	16.9 µg/m <sup>3</sup>

#### 10.1.4.3 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>)

Nitrogen dioxide and oxides of nitrogen data for the 2018 monitoring period at South Link Road is presented in

Table 10-5. No hourly mean NO<sub>2</sub> value was above the lower assessment threshold (140 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). The CAFE Directive stipulates that this threshold should not be exceeded more than 18 times in a calendar year. The mean hourly NO<sub>2</sub> value during the measurement period was below the annual lower assessment threshold for the protection of human health, which is 26 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.

Table 10-5 Nitrogen Dioxide and Oxides of Nitrogen Data South Link Road 2018

Parameter	Measurement
No. of hours	8,760
No. of measured values	6,185
Percentage Coverage	70.6%
Maximum hourly value (NO <sub>2</sub> )	132.4 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
98 percentile for hourly values (NO <sub>2</sub> )	82.7 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
Mean hourly value (Annually) (NO <sub>2</sub> )	25.4 µg/m <sup>3</sup>

#### 10.1.4.4 Carbon Monoxide (CO)

Carbon Monoxide data for the 2018 monitoring period at the South Link Road in Cork is presented in Table 10-6. The mean hourly concentration of carbon monoxide recorded was 0.4 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. The carbon monoxide limit value for the protection of human health is 10 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. The lower Assessment Threshold is 5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. On no occasions were values in excess of the 10 mg limit value set out in the CAFE Directive/ Air Quality Standards Regulations 2011 (as amended) recorded.

Table 10-6 Carbon Monoxide Data for 2018 at South Link Road

Hourly Values	Result
No. of hours	8,760
No. of measured values	7,815
Percentage Coverage	89.2%
Maximum hourly value	2.1 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
98 percentile for hourly values	0.8 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Mean hourly value	0.4 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Maximum 24-hour mean	0.9 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
98 percentile for 24-hour mean	0.7 mg/m <sup>3</sup>

#### 10.1.4.5 Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>)

Ozone data for CIT, Bishopstown, Co. Cork from 2018 is presented in Table 10-7. The legislation stipulates that a daily limit of 120 mg/m<sup>3</sup> should not be exceeded on more than 25 days for ozone. On no occasions were mean daily values in excess of the limit value.

Table 10-7 Summary statistics for O<sub>3</sub> concentrations for 2018; CIT

Hourly Values	Result
No. of hours	8,760
No. of measured values	4,372
Percentage Coverage	49.9%
Maximum hourly value	146.6 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
98 percentile for hourly values	84.0 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Mean hourly value	53.35 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Maximum 24-hour mean	91.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
98 percentile for 24-hour mean	76.0 mg/m <sup>3</sup>

#### 10.1.4.6 Dust

There are no statutory limits for dust deposition in Ireland. However, EPA guidance suggests that a deposition of 10 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/hour can generally be considered as posing a soiling nuisance. This equates to 240 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day. The EPA recommends a maximum daily deposition level of 350 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day when measured according to the TA Luft Standard 2002.

Construction dust has the potential to be generated from on-site activities such as excavation and backfilling. The extent of dust generation at any site depends on the type of activity undertaken, the location, the nature of the dust, i.e. soil, sand, peat, etc., and the weather. In addition, dust dispersion is influenced by external factors such as wind speed and direction and/or, periods of dry weather.

Construction traffic movements also have the potential to generate dust as they travel along the haul route.

The potential dust-related effects on local air quality and the relevant associated mitigation measures are presented in Sections 10.1.5.2.2 and 10.1.5.3.2 below.

## 10.1.5 Likely Significant Effects and Associated Mitigation Measures

### 10.1.5.1 'Do-Nothing' Effect

If the Proposed Development were not to proceed, no changes would be made to the current land-use practice of forestry and the site would continue to be managed under the existing commercial forestry arrangements.

If the Proposed Development were not to proceed, there would be no exhaust emissions from construction plant and vehicles, nor would there be dust emissions due to the movement of the same. However, the opportunity to further reduce emissions of carbon dioxide, oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), and sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) to the atmosphere would be lost resulting in a continued dependence on electricity derived from fossil fuels, rather than renewable energy sources such as from the proposed renewable energy development. This will result in an indirect negative impact on air quality.

### 10.1.5.2 Construction Phase

#### 10.1.5.2.1 Exhaust Emissions

##### Turbines and Other Infrastructure

The construction of turbine bases and hardstands, substation and battery storage, site roads and other onsite infrastructure will require the operation of construction vehicles and plant on site. Exhaust emissions associated with vehicles and plant will arise as a result of construction activities. This potential effect will not be significant and will be restricted to the duration of the construction phase and localised to works locations. Therefore, this is considered a short-term slight negative impact. Mitigation measures to reduce this impact are presented below.

##### Borrow Pit

The proposed borrow pits will also require the use of construction machinery and plant, thereby giving rise to exhaust emissions. This is also a short-term slight negative impact, which will be reduced through use of the best practice mitigation measures as presented below.

##### Grid Connection

The planning application assesses the connection of the proposed turbines to the national electricity grid via a proposed new substation which will connect via an underground cable connection, approximately 120m in length, to the existing overhead line.

The construction of this short connection will require minimum works and therefore gives rise to a short term imperceptible negative impact.

##### Transport to Site

The transport of turbines and construction materials to the site, which will occur on specified routes only (see Section 4.4 in Chapter 4 of this EIAR), will also give rise to exhaust emissions associated with the transport vehicles. This constitutes a slight negative impact in terms of air quality. Mitigation measures in relation to exhaust emissions are presented below.

### Mitigation

- All construction vehicles and plant will be maintained in good operational order while onsite, thereby minimising any emissions that arise.
- Machinery will be switched off when not in use.
- Turbines and construction materials will be transported to the site on specified routes only, unless otherwise agreed with the Planning Authority.
- Aggregate materials for the construction of site access tracks and all associated infrastructure will all be locally sourced, where possible, which will further reduce potential emissions.

### Residual Impact

Following implementation of the mitigation measures outlined above, residual impacts of dust generation from the construction phase will have a Short-term Imperceptible Negative Impact.

### Significance of Effects

Based on the assessment above there will be no significant direct or indirect effects on air quality due to exhaust emissions during the construction of the Proposed Development.

## 10.1.5.2.2 **Dust Emissions**

### Turbines and Other Infrastructure

The construction of turbine bases and hardstands, substation and battery storage, site roads and other onsite infrastructure will give rise to dust emissions during the construction phase. The potential for impacts on off-site receptors is limited due to the isolated nature of the site and the vegetative screening that exists surrounding the site. This potential effect will not be significant and will be restricted to the duration of the construction phase. Therefore, this is a short-term slight negative impact. Dust suppression mitigation measures to reduce this impact are presented below.

### Grid Connection

The planning application assesses the connection of the proposed turbines to the national electricity grid via a proposed new substation which will connect via an underground cable connection, approximately 120m in length, to the existing overhead line.

The construction of this short connection will require minimum works and therefore gives rise to a short term imperceptible negative impact.

### Borrow Pit

Development of the proposed borrow pits and the extraction of material from this location will give rise to localised dust emissions. This is a short-term, moderate, negative impact. Mitigation measures to reduce this impact are presented below.

### Transport to Site

The transport of turbines and construction materials to the Proposed Development site will also give rise to some localised dust emissions during periods of dry weather. This is a short-term slight negative impact. Mitigation measures to reduce the significance of this effect are presented below.

## Mitigation

- Sporadic wetting of loose stone surface will be carried out during the construction phase to minimise movement of dust particles to the air. In periods of extended dry weather, dust suppression may be necessary along haul roads to ensure dust does not cause a nuisance. If necessary, water will be taken from stilling ponds in the site's drainage system and will be pumped into a bowser or water spreader to dampen down haul roads and site compound to prevent the generation of dust where required. Water bowser movements will be carefully monitored to avoid, insofar as reasonably possible, increased runoff.
- All plant and materials vehicles shall be stored in dedicated areas (on site).
- Areas of excavation will be kept to a minimum, and stockpiling will be minimised by coordinating excavation, spreading and compaction.
- Turbines and construction materials will be transported to the site on specified haul routes only.
- The agreed haul route roads adjacent to the site will be regularly inspected for cleanliness and cleaned as necessary.
- The transport of dry peat and spoil, that has the significant potential to generate dust, to the on-site borrow pits will be minimised. If necessary, excavated peat and spoil will be dampened prior to transport to the borrow pits.
- A Construction and Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) will be in place throughout the construction phase (see Appendix 4-3). The CEMP includes dust suppression measures.

## Residual Impact

Following implementation of the mitigation measures outlined above, residual impacts of dust generation from the construction phase will have a Short-term Imperceptible Negative Impact.

## Significance of Effects

Based on the assessment above there will be no significant direct or indirect effects on air quality due to dust emissions during the construction of the Proposed Development.

### 10.1.5.3 Operational Phase

#### 10.1.5.3.1 Exhaust Emissions

Exhaust emissions associated with the operational phase of the Proposed Development will arise from machinery and vehicles that are intermittently required onsite for maintenance. This will give rise to a long-term imperceptible negative impact.

## Mitigation

Any vehicles or plant brought onsite during the operational phase will be maintained in good operational order that comply with the Road Traffic Acts 1961 as amended, thereby minimising any emissions that arise.

## Residual Impact

Long-term Imperceptible Negative Impact

## Significance of Effects

Based on the assessment above there will be no significant direct or indirect effects on air quality due to the operation of the Proposed Development.

### 10.1.5.3.2 **Air Quality**

Although exhaust emissions will arise during the construction phase, the proposed development, by providing an alternative to electricity derived from coal, oil or gas-fired power stations, will result in emission savings of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), and sulphur dioxide SO<sub>2</sub>. The production of renewable energy from the Proposed Development will have a long-term significant positive impact on air quality. Further details on the carbon dioxide savings associated with the Proposed Development are presented in Section 10.2.3 below.

#### Residual Impact

Long-term Significant Positive Impact

#### Significance of Effects

Based on the assessment above there will be a significant positive direct and indirect effect.

### 10.1.5.3.3 **Human Health**

Long-term exposure to chemicals such as SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> are harmful to human health. The production of clean, renewable energy from the Proposed Development will offset the emission of these harmful chemicals by fossil fuel powered sources of electricity and, therefore, will have a long-term slight positive impact on human health. Further information on the impact of the Proposed Development on Human Health is contained in Chapter 5: Population and Human Health.

#### Residual Impact

Long-term Slight Positive Impact

#### Significance of Effects

Based on the assessment above there will be no slight positive direct and indirect effect.

### 10.1.5.4 **Decommissioning Phase**

Any impact and consequential effect that occurs during the decommissioning phase are similar to that which occur during the construction phase, be it of less impact. The mitigation measures prescribed for the construction phase of the Proposed Development will be implemented during the decommissioning phase thereby minimising any potential impacts.

## 10.2 Climate

All relevant legislation and policy in relation to climate is outlined in detail in Chapter 2 of this EIAR. A summary of the same is provided in the following sections.

### 10.2.1 Climate Change and Greenhouse Gases

Although variation in climate is thought to be a natural process, the rate at which the climate is changing has been accelerated rapidly by human activities. Climate change is one of the most challenging global issues facing us today and is primarily the result of increased levels of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. These greenhouse gases come primarily from the combustion of fossil fuels in energy use. Changing climate patterns are thought to increase the frequency of extreme weather conditions such as storms, floods and droughts. In addition, warmer weather trends can place pressure on animals and plants that cannot adapt to a rapidly changing environment. Moving away from our reliance on coal, oil and other fossil fuel-driven power plants is essential to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases and combat climate change.

#### 10.2.1.1 Greenhouse Gas Emission Targets

Ireland is a Party to the Kyoto Protocol, which is an international agreement that sets limitations and reduction targets for greenhouse gases for developed countries. It is a protocol to the United Nations Framework for the Convention on Climate Change. The Kyoto Protocol came into effect in 2005, as a result of which, emission reduction targets agreed by developed countries, including Ireland, are now binding.

Under the Kyoto Protocol, the EU agreed to achieve a significant reduction in total greenhouse gas emissions in the period 2008 to 2012. Ireland's contribution to the EU commitment for the period 2008 - 2012 was to limit its greenhouse gas emissions to no more than 13% above 1990 levels.

##### 10.2.1.1.1 Doha Amendment to the Kyoto Protocol

In Doha, Qatar, on 8th December 2012, the "Doha Amendment to the Kyoto Protocol" was adopted. The amendment includes:

- New commitments for Annex I Parties to the Kyoto Protocol who agreed to take on commitments in a second commitment period from 1 January 2013 to 31 December 2020;
- A revised list of greenhouse gases (GHG) to be reported on by Parties in the second commitment period; and
- Amendments to several articles of the Kyoto Protocol which specifically referenced issues pertaining to the first commitment period and which needed to be updated for the second commitment period.

During the first commitment period, 37 industrialised countries and the European Community committed to reduce GHG emissions to an average of five percent against 1990 levels. During the second commitment period, Parties committed to reduce GHG emissions by at least 18 percent below 1990 levels in the eight-year period from 2013 to 2020; however, the composition of Parties in the second commitment period is different from the first. Ireland is part of the second commitment period under the Kyoto Protocol, where they agreed to fulfil their commitments under Article 3 of the Protocol for the second commitment period in 2017.

Under the protocol, countries must meet their targets primarily through national measures, although market-based mechanisms such as international emissions trading can also be utilised.

#### 10.2.1.1.2 COP21 Paris Agreement

COP21 was the 21st session of the Conference of the Parties (COP) to the United Nations Convention. Every year since 1995, the COP has gathered the 196 Parties (195 countries and the European Union) that have ratified the Convention in a different country, to evaluate its implementation and negotiate new commitments. COP21 was organised by the United Nations in Paris and held from 30th November to 12th December 2015.

COP21 closed on 12th December 2015 with the adoption of the first international climate agreement (concluded by 195 countries and applicable to all). The twelve-page text, made up of a preamble and 29 articles, provides for a limitation of the temperature rise to below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and even to tend towards 1.5°C. It is flexible and takes into account the needs and capacities of each country. It is balanced as regards adaptation and mitigation, and durable, with a periodical ratcheting-up of ambitions.

#### 10.2.1.1.3 COP25 Climate Change Conference

The 25<sup>th</sup> United Nations Climate Change conference COP25 was held in Madrid and ran from December 2<sup>nd</sup> to December 13<sup>th</sup>, 2019. While largely regarded as an unsuccessful conference, the European Union launched its most ambitious plan, 'The European Green New Deal' which aims to lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to zero by 2050. The deal includes proposals to reduce emissions from the transport, agriculture and energy sectors and will affect the technology chemicals, textiles, cement and steel industries. Measures such as fines and pay-outs by member states who rely on coal power will be in place to encourage the switch to renewable clean energies such as wind. The Commission will present draft laws for the new deal to the EU in January of 2020 and if accepted will likely be implemented in 2021. Decisions regarding the global carbon market were postponed until the next Climate Conference (COP26) which was due to be held in Glasgow in November 2020, but has been postponed to 2021

#### 10.2.1.1.4 Emissions Projections

Ireland's target is to achieve a 20% reduction of non-Emissions Trading Scheme (non-ETS) sector emissions, i.e. agriculture, transport, residential, commercial, non-energy intensive industry and waste, on 2005 levels, with annual binding limits set for each year over the period 2013 - 2020. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) publish Ireland's Greenhouse Gas Emission Projection and at the time of writing, the most recent report, 'Ireland's Greenhouse Gas Emissions Projections 2018–2040' was published in June 2019. The report includes an assessment of Ireland's progress towards achieving its emission reduction targets out to 2020 and 2030 set under the EU Effort Sharing Decision (Decision No 406/2009/EU) and Effort Sharing Regulation (Regulation (EU) 2018/842).

The 2019 emission projections report include the impact of new climate mitigation policies and measures which were outlined in the National Development Plan 2018. These projections see a greater impact from policies and measures and a greater reduction in emissions over the longer term, particularly in the "With Additional Measures" scenario. The 2019 emissions projections do not take into account policies and measures set out in the Climate Action Plan 2019. Such measures will be taken into consideration in an updated future projections report in 2020.

Greenhouse gas emissions are projected to 2040 using two scenarios; 'With Existing Measures' and 'With Additional Measures'. The 'With Existing Measures' scenario assumes that no additional policies and measures, beyond those already in place by the end of 2017 (latest national greenhouse gas emission inventory) are implemented. The 'With Additional Measures' scenario assumes the implementation of the "With Existing Measures" scenario and further implementation of the governments renewable and energy efficiency policies including those set out in the National Renewable Energy Action Plan (NREA), the National Energy Efficiency Action Plan (NEEAP) and the National Development Plan 2018-2027.

The EPA Emission Projections Update notes the following key trends:

- Total emissions are projected to increase from current levels by 1% and 6% by 2020 and 2030, respectively, under the "With Existing Measures" scenario.
- Under the "With Additional Measures" scenario, emissions are estimated to decrease by 0.4% and 10% by 2020 and 2030, respectively.

- Ireland's non-Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) emissions are projected to be 5% and 6% below 2005 levels in 2020 under the 'With Existing Measures' and 'With Additional Measures' scenarios, respectively. The target for Ireland is a 20% reduction.
- Ireland has exceeded its annual binding limits in 2016 and 2017 under both scenarios, 'With Existing Measures' and 'With Additional Measures'.
- Over the period 2013 – 2020, Ireland is projected to cumulatively exceed its compliance obligations by 10 Mt CO<sub>2</sub> (metric tonnes of Carbon Dioxide) equivalent under the 'With Existing Measures' scenario and 9 Mt CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent under the 'With Additional Measures' scenario.

The report concludes:

- *“Projections indicate that Ireland will exceed the carbon budget over the period 2021-2030 by 52-67Mt CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent with the gap potentially narrowing to 7-22 Mt CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent if both the ETS and LULUCF flexibilities described in the Regulation are fully utilised.”*
- *“To determine compliance under the Effort Sharing Decision, any overachievement of the binding emission limit in a particular year (between 2013 and 2020) can be banked and used towards compliance in a future year. However, even using this mechanism Ireland will still be in non-compliance according to the latest projections.”*
- *“A significant reduction in emissions over the longer term is projected as a result of the expansion of renewables (e.g. wind), assumed to reach 41-54% by 2030, with a move away from coal and peat... [...] ... However, Ireland still faces significant challenges in meeting EU 2030 targets in the non-ETS sector and national 2050 reduction targets in the electricity generation, built environment and transport sectors. Progress in achieving targets is dependent on the level of implementation of current and future plans.”*

#### 10.2.1.1.5 Progress to Date

The 'Europe 2020 Strategy' is the EU's agenda for growth and jobs for the current decade. The Europe 2020 Strategy targets on climate change and energy include:

- Reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by at least 20% compared with 1990 levels;
- Increasing the share of renewable energy in final energy consumption to 20%; and
- Moving towards a 20% increase in energy efficiency.

Further details on the Europe 2020 Strategy are included in Chapter 2: Background to the Proposed Development of this EIAR. Regarding progress on targets, the 'Europe 2020 indicators - climate change and energy' report provides a summary of recent statistics on climate change and energy in the EU.

In 2015, EU greenhouse gas emissions, including emissions from international aviation and indirect carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions, were down by 22.1% when compared with 1990 levels. However, regarding the progress of individual Member States, and Ireland in particular, the Europe 2020 indicators include the following statements:

- 24 countries are on track to meet their GHG targets, except Austria, Belgium, Ireland and Luxembourg.
- Luxembourg emitted the most GHG per capita in the EU in 2014 followed by Estonia, Ireland and the Netherlands.
- In 2015, Malta was the farthest from reaching their national target, followed by Ireland, Belgium and Luxembourg.

While the EU as a whole is projected to exceed its 2020 target of reducing GHG emissions by 20%, Ireland is currently one of the countries projected to miss its national targets. The Europe 2020 report emphasises the importance of continued action on climate change.

### 10.2.1.1.6 United Nations Sustainable Development Summit 2015

*Transforming our World: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development* which includes 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and 169 targets was adopted by all UN Member States at a UN summit held in New York in 2015. The Agenda is universally applicable with all countries having a shared responsibility to achieve the goals and targets. Coming into effect on January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2016, the goals and targets are to be actions over the 15-year period, are integrated and indivisible i.e. all must be implemented together by each Member State.

The Sustainable Development Goals National Implementation Plan 2018-2020 was published by the Department of Communications, Climate Action & Environment in partnerships with OSI, Esri Ireland and the Central Statistics Office. The Plan sets out how Ireland will work to achieve the goals and targets of the Agenda for Sustainable Development both domestically and internationally. The most recent progress update for these goals is from 2019. While the UN are currently updating the progress against these goals for 2020, they have yet to be provided. Relevant SDGs and how they are implemented into Irish National plans and policies can be found in Table 10-8.

Table 10-8 United Nations Sustainable Development Goals adopted in 2015. <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/sdgs>

SDG	Targets	International Progress to Date (2019)	National Relevant Policy
<b>SDG 7 Affordable and Clean Energy:</b> <i>Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; By 2030, ensure universal access to affordable, reliable and modern energy services</li> <li>&gt; By 2030, increase substantially the share of renewable energy in the global energy mix</li> <li>&gt; By 2030, double the global rate of improvement in energy efficiency</li> <li>&gt; By 2030, enhance international cooperation to facilitate access to clean energy research and technology, including renewable energy, energy efficiency and advanced and cleaner fossil-fuel technology, and promote investment in energy infrastructure and clean energy technology</li> <li>&gt; By 2030, expand infrastructure and upgrade technology for supplying modern and sustainable energy services for all in developing countries, in particular least developed countries, small island developing States, and land-locked developing countries, in accordance with their respective programmes of support</li> </ul>	<p>The renewable energy share of total final energy consumption gradually increased from 16.6 per cent in 2010 to 17.5 per cent in 2016, though much faster change is required to meet climate goals.</p> <p>Global primary energy intensity (ratio of energy used per unit of GDP) improved from 5.9 in 2010 to 5.1 in 2016, a rate of improvement of 2.3 per cent, which is still short of the 2.7 per cent annual rate needed to reach target 3 of Sustainable Development Goal 7.</p>	<p><i>Ireland's Transition to a Low Carbon Energy Future 2015-2030</i></p> <p><i>Strategy to Combat Energy Poverty in Ireland</i></p> <p><i>Ireland's Transition to a Low Carbon Energy Future 2015-2030</i></p> <p><i>National Mitigation Plan</i></p> <p><i>National Energy Efficiency Action Plan for Ireland # 4 2017-2020</i></p> <p><i>Better Energy Programme</i></p> <p><i>One World, One Future</i></p> <p><i>The Global Island</i></p>
<b>SDG 13 Climate Action:</b> <i>Take</i>	Strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-	In 2017, greenhouse gas concentrations reached	<i>National Adaptation Framework</i>

SDG	Targets	International Progress to Date (2019)	National Relevant Policy
<p><i>urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts *</i></p> <p><i>*Acknowledging that the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change is the primary international, intergovernmental forum for negotiating the global response to climate change.</i></p>	<p>related hazards and natural disasters in all countries</p> <p>Integrate climate change measures into national policies, strategies and planning</p> <p>Implement the commitment undertaken by developed-country parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change to a goal of mobilising jointly \$100 billion annually by 2020 from all sources to address the needs of developing countries in the context of meaningful mitigation actions and transparency on implementation and fully operationalize the Green Climate Fund through its capitalization as soon as possible</p>	<p>new highs, with globally averaged mole fractions of CO<sub>2</sub> at 405.5 parts per million (ppm), up from 400.1 ppm in 2015, and at 146 per cent of pre-industrial levels. Moving towards 2030 emission objectives compatible with the 2°C and 1.5°C pathways requires a peak to be achieved as soon as possible, followed by rapid reductions.</p> <p>During the period 1998–2017, direct economic losses from disasters were estimated at almost \$3 trillion. Climate-related and geophysical disasters claimed an estimated 1.3 million lives.</p> <p>As of April 2019, 185 parties had ratified the Paris Agreement. Parties to the Paris Agreement are expected to prepare, communicate and maintain successive nationally determined contributions, and 183 parties had communicated their first nationally determined contributions to the secretariat of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, while 1 party had communicated its second. Under the Agreement, all parties are required to submit new nationally determined contributions, containing revised and much more ambitious targets, by 2020.</p> <p>Global climate finance flows increased by 17 per cent in the period 2015–2016 compared with the period 2013–2014.</p> <p>As at 20 May 2019, 75 countries are seeking</p>	<p><i>Building on Recovery: Infrastructure and Capital Investment 2016-2021</i></p> <p><i>National Mitigation Plan</i></p> <p><i>National Biodiversity Action Plan 2017-2021</i></p> <p><i>National Policy Position on Climate Action and Low Carbon Development</i></p>

SDG	Targets	International Progress to Date (2019)	National Relevant Policy
		support from the Green Climate Fund for national adaptation plans and other adaptation planning processes, with a combined value of \$191 million.	

#### 10.2.1.1.7 *Climate Action Network Europe Off Target Report 2018*

The June 2018 ‘*Off Target Report*’ published by the Climate Action Network (CAN) Europe which ranks EU countries ambition and progress in fighting climate change listed Ireland as the second worst performing EU member state in tackling climate change. It also stated that Ireland is set to miss its 2020 climate (20% reduction in greenhouse gases) and renewable (40% increase in overall energy from renewable electricity sources) energy targets. Additionally, it was noted that Ireland is also off course for its 2030 emissions target.

In March 2019, the Minister for Communications, Climate Action, and the Environment, Richard Bruton, announced a renewable electricity target of 70% by 2030 for Ireland. Furthermore, the release of the Climate Action Plan in June 2019 has noted a 30% reduction in greenhouse gases by 2030. Considering only renewable energy from electricity as part of this plan and to meet the required level of emissions reduction by 2030, Ireland will:

- Reduce CO<sub>2</sub> eq. emissions from the sector by 50–55% relative to 2030 NDP projections.
- Deliver an early and complete phase-out of coal- and peat-fired electricity generation.
- Increase electricity generated from renewable sources to 70%, indicatively comprised of:
  - at least 3.5 GW of offshore renewable energy;
  - up to 1.5 GW of grid-scale solar energy; and
  - up to 8.2 GW total of increased onshore wind capacity.
- Meet 15% of electricity demand by renewable sources contracted under Corporate PPAs.

Achieving 70% renewable electricity by 2030 will involve phasing out coal and peat-fired electricity generation plants, increasing our renewable electricity, reinforcing our grid (including greater interconnection to allow electricity to flow between Ireland and other countries), and putting systems in place to manage intermittent sources of power, especially from wind.

In April 2020 the Sustainable Energy Authority of Ireland (SEAI) released a progress report for Ireland’s 2020 climate and energy targets, titled: “*Renewable Energy in Ireland - 2020 Update*”. The report shows that Ireland is not on track to meet any of its 2020 renewable energy targets and ranks second last of the 28 European countries (including the UK) in terms of progress towards 2020 targets. Renewable sources made up just 11% of Ireland’s energy consumption in 2018, which is significantly short the 16% goal for 2020. The report notes that Ireland’s dependence on fossil fuels for heating requirements (over 93%) was the primary cause for failing to achieve its overall renewable energy target.

As stated above, Ireland are not on track for meeting their 2020 renewable energy targets. It is now more critical than ever that we continue to progress renewable energy development in Ireland so as we are successful in meeting our 2030 target.

The Climate Action Plan noted specific sectors which are required to step-up in order to help Ireland achieve its EU targets. The renewable energy sector was cited alongside the country’s commitment to increase onshore wind capacity by up to 8.2 GW. The Proposed Development will help contribute towards this target.

The Curraglass Renewable Energy Development is compatible with the relevant provisions as set out in the Climate Action Plan 2019, relating to the harnessing of renewable energy. In summary, the Proposed Development will contribute the following:

- Production of 91,980 MWh of electricity which would be sufficient to supply 21,900 Irish households with electricity per year.
- Helping to meet the target that 70% of our electricity needs will come from renewable sources by 2030.
- Helping to reduce carbon emissions and improving Ireland’s security of energy supply.
- Provision of grid connection infrastructure to support the renewable energy output from the proposed development.

Further detail on the EU 2030 targets are noted in Chapter 2, Section 2.2 of this EIAR.

#### 10.2.1.1.8 **Climate Change Performance Index**

Established in 2005, the Climate Change Performance Index (CCPI) is an independent monitoring tool which tracks countries climate protection performance. It assesses individual countries based on: climate policies, energy usage per capita, renewable energy implementation and Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG) and ranks their performance in each category and overall. The 2020 CCPI was published in December 2019 and presented at the COP25. While the CCPI 2020 indicated signs of potential reductions in global emissions, no country achieved its Paris Climate targets and therefore the first three places of the ranking system remain unoccupied.

Ireland, ranked the worst performer in the CCPI 2019, climbed 7 places to 41<sup>st</sup> place and has moved from a “very low” performer to a “low” performer in international performance. However, it remains at “very low” at a national performance level. The CCPI report states that while some improvements have been made, GHG per capita emissions are at a high level and “significant challenges lie ahead in closing Ireland’s emission gap, meeting the current (2030) target and aligning Ireland’s emission trajectory with a net zero goal for 2050. Therefore, the country still ranks among the bottom ten performers in this indicator.” Recognising Ireland’s Climate Action Plan 2019, the CCPI states:

*“the government must go much further in implementing policies across all sectors that drive sustained emissions reductions over the next decade. Near-term ambition needs to be ratcheted up quickly by specifying deep cuts in fossil fuel and reactive nitrogen usage to put Ireland on a net zero emissions pathway aligned with the Paris temperature goals”.*

#### 10.2.2 **Climate and Weather in the Existing Environment**

Ireland has a temperate, oceanic climate, resulting in mild winters and cool summers. The Met Éireann weather station at Cork Airport, Co. Cork, is the nearest weather and climate monitoring station to the Proposed Development site that has meteorological data recorded for the 30-year period from 1981-2010 (see Table 10-9 below). The monitoring station is located approximately 56 kilometres east of the site. The wettest months are October and December, and April is usually the driest. July is the warmest month with an average temperature of 15.3° Celsius. The mean annual temperature recorded at Cork Airport was 9.9° Celsius.

Table 10-9 Data from Met Éireann Weather Station at Cork Airport 1981–2010: Monthly and Annual Mean and Extreme Values

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year
<b>TEMPERATURE (degrees Celsius)</b>													
mean daily max	8.2	8.3	9.9	11.8	14.4	17.0	18.7	18.5	16.5	13.2	10.3	8.5	<b>12.9</b>
mean daily min	3.0	3.1	4.0	4.9	7.4	10.0	11.8	11.8	10.2	7.7	5.2	3.7	<b>6.9</b>
mean temperature	5.6	5.7	6.9	8.4	10.9	13.5	15.3	15.2	13.3	10.5	7.8	6.1	<b>9.9</b>
absolute max.	16.1	14.0	15.7	21.2	23.6	27.5	28.7	28.0	24.7	21.4	16.2	13.8	<b>28.7</b>
min. maximum	-8.0	-4.7	-4.3	-2.3	-0.9	3.7	6.7	5.3	2.3	-0.9	-3.3	-7.2	<b>-8.0</b>
max. minimum	4.6	4.1	1.8	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	1.2	3.6	<b>16.7</b>
absolute min.	12.8	11.8	9.7	7.8	2.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	2.4	7.3	11.0	<b>65.3</b>
mean num. of days with air frost	8.2	8.3	9.9	11.8	14.4	17.0	18.7	18.5	16.5	13.2	10.3	8.5	<b>12.9</b>
mean num. of days with ground frost	3.0	3.1	4.0	4.9	7.4	10.0	11.8	11.8	10.2	7.7	5.2	3.7	<b>6.9</b>
mean 5cm soil	5.6	5.7	6.9	8.4	10.9	13.5	15.3	15.2	13.3	10.5	7.8	6.1	<b>9.9</b>
mean 10cm soil	16.1	14.0	15.7	21.2	23.6	27.5	28.7	28.0	24.7	21.4	16.2	13.8	<b>28.7</b>
mean 20cm soil	-8.0	-4.7	-4.3	-2.3	-0.9	3.7	6.7	5.3	2.3	-0.9	-3.3	-7.2	<b>-8.0</b>
<b>RELATIVE HUMIDITY (%)</b>													
mean at 0900UTC	89.8	89.4	87.8	83.1	80.6	81.3	83.2	85.4	88.4	90.1	90.7	90.5	<b>86.7</b>
mean at 1500UTC	83.7	78.9	75.5	71.3	70.9	71.5	72.9	72.8	75.4	80.4	83.4	85.4	<b>76.8</b>
<b>SUNSHINE (hours)</b>													
mean daily duration	1.8	2.4	3.3	5.3	6.2	5.8	5.4	5.2	4.3	3.0	2.3	1.7	<b>3.9</b>
greatest daily duration	8.5	10.0	11.5	13.6	15.5	16.0	15.3	14.4	11.9	10.3	8.7	7.6	<b>16.0</b>
mean num. of days with no sun	10.1	7.9	6.3	3.1	2.1	2.5	2.0	2.6	3.6	6.4	8.6	11.9	<b>67.1</b>
<b>RAINFALL (mm)</b>													
mean monthly total	131.4	97.8	97.6	76.5	82.3	80.9	78.8	96.8	94.6	138.2	120.0	133.1	<b>1227.9</b>
greatest daily total	45.7	49.9	55.2	34.2	34.9	59.7	73.2	60.9	58.9	52.1	47.9	41.9	<b>73.2</b>
mean num. of days with $\geq$ 0.2mm	20	17	19	16	15	14	15	15	16	19	19	19	<b>204</b>
mean num. of days with $\geq$ 1.0mm	16	13	14	11	12	10	10	11	11	15	14	15	<b>152</b>
mean num. of days with $\geq$ 5.0mm	9	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	8	7	8	<b>73</b>

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year
<b>WIND (knots)</b>													
mean monthly speed	12.1	12.0	11.6	10.3	10.1	9.4	9.0	9.0	9.4	10.7	10.9	11.6	<b>10.5</b>
max. gust	78	83	70	62	59	49	57	54	58	75	66	80	<b>65.9</b>
max. mean 10-minute speed	52	54	43	40	40	33	40	38	39	48	46	56	<b>44.1</b>
mean num. of days with gales	2.3	1.8	1.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	1.0	1.2	1.9	<b>10.8</b>
<b>WEATHER (mean no. of days with)</b>													
snow or sleet	3.1	3.1	2.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	2.2	<b>11.3</b>
snow lying at 0900UTC	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	<b>2.0</b>
Hail	1.0	1.1	1.4	1.9	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.4	<b>7.4</b>
Thunder	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.1	<b>3.3</b>
Fog	7.8	6.8	8.5	7.5	7.6	7.6	8.4	8.8	9.1	8.7	7.6	8.4	<b>96.8</b>

## 10.2.3 Calculating Carbon Losses and Savings from the Proposed Development

### 10.2.3.1 Background

Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions occur naturally in addition to being released with the burning of fossil fuels. All organic material is composed of carbon, which is released as CO<sub>2</sub> when the material decomposes. Organic material acts as a store of carbon. Peatland habitats are significant stores of organic carbon. The vegetation on a peat bog slowly absorbs CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere when it is active and converts it to organic carbon. When the vegetation dies, in the acidic waterlogged conditions of bogs and peatlands, the organic material does not decompose fully, and the organic carbon is retained in the accumulating mass of the peatland. The site of the Proposed Development is mostly situated within forestry, with underlying soils being that of very shallow peat soils, ranging between 0.01m to 2.0m. For this reason, the carbon balance between the use of renewable energy and the loss of carbon stored in the peat is assessed in this section of the EIAR.

The carbon balance of proposed wind farm developments in peatland habitats has attracted significant attention in recent years. When developments such as wind farms are proposed for peatland areas, there will be direct effects and loss of peat in the area of the development footprint. There may also be indirect effects where it is necessary to install drainage in certain areas to facilitate construction. The works can either directly or indirectly allow the peat to dry out, which permits the full decomposition of the stored organic material with the associated release of the stored carbon as CO<sub>2</sub>. It is essential therefore that any wind farm development in a peatland area saves more CO<sub>2</sub> than is released.

### 10.2.3.2 Methodology for Calculating Losses

A methodology was published in June 2008 by scientists at the University of Aberdeen and the Macauley Institute with support from the Rural and Environment Research and Analysis Directorate of the Scottish Government, Science Policy and Co-ordination Division. The document, '*Calculating carbon savings from wind farms on Scottish peat lands*', was developed to calculate the impact of wind energy developments on the soil carbon stocks held in peat. This methodology was refined and updated in 2011 based on feedback from users of the initial methodology and further research in the area. This provides a transparent and easy to follow method for estimating the impacts of wind farms on the carbon dynamics of peatlands. Previously guidance produced by Scottish Natural Heritage in 2003 had been widely employed to determine carbon payback in the absence of any more detailed methods.

Although the loss of carbon fixing potential from plants on peat land is not substantial, it is nonetheless calculated for areas from which peat is removed and the areas affected by drainage. This calculation takes account of the annual gains due to the carbon fixing potential of the peat land and the time required for any habitat restoration. The carbon sequestered in the peat itself represents a much more substantial potential source of carbon loss. During wind farm construction, carbon is lost as a result of peat excavation and peat drainage. The amount of carbon lost is estimated using default values from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 1997) as well as by more site-specific equations derived from the scientific literature. Carbon gains due to habitat improvement and site restoration are calculated in a similar fashion.

Peatlands are essentially unbalanced systems. When flooded, peat soils emit less carbon dioxide but more methane than when drained. In waterlogged soils, carbon dioxide emissions are usually exceeded by plant fixation, so the net exchange of carbon with the atmosphere is negative and soil carbon stocks increase. When soils are aerated, carbon emissions usually exceed plant fixation, so the net exchange of carbon with the atmosphere is positive. In order to calculate the carbon emissions resulting from the removal or drainage of the peat, the Macauley Institute method accounts for emissions occurring if the peat had been left in-situ and subtracts these from the emissions occurring after removal and drainage.

The Macauley Institute methodology states that the total volume of peat impacted by the construction of the wind farm is strongly correlated to the extent of the peatland affected by drainage at the site.

The drainage of peat soils leads to continual loss of soil carbon until a new steady state is reached, when inputs are approximately equal to losses. For peats, this steady state approximates 0% carbon, so 100% carbon loss from drained peats is assumed if the site is not restored after decommissioning of the wind farm. The amount of carbon lost is calculated on the basis of the annual emissions of methane and carbon dioxide, the area of drained peat, and the time until the site is restored. However, the restoration proposal should demonstrate a high probability that the hydrological regime will be restored across the site, disturbance of the remaining peat will be minimised, and peat-forming vegetation will develop in areas from which peat was removed or drained. In the case of the Proposed Development site, the model has been prepared on the basis of two scenarios, one where restoration of the wind farm areas will occur on decommissioning, and another where restoration will not occur.

The effects of drainage may also reduce dissolved and particulate organic carbon retention within the peat. Losses of carbon dioxide due to leaching of dissolved and particulate organic carbon are calculated as a proportion of the gaseous losses of carbon from the peat. The Macauley Institute method assumes that published good practice is employed in relation to avoiding the risk of peat landslides. This is certainly the case in respect of the Proposed Development, which has been the subject of a peat stability risk assessment, as described in the Peat Stability Risk Assessment in Appendix 8-1. of this EIAR. Therefore, this potentially large carbon loss pathway is omitted from the calculations.

Clear-felling of existing forestry surrounding turbine locations may often be necessary to avoid reductions in the wind energy yield of wind farm proposals. Forestry may be felled earlier than originally planned due to the wind farm development, so limiting the nature and longevity of the resulting timber produced. If a forestry plantation was due to be felled with no plan to replant, the effect of the land use change is not attributable to the wind farm development and is omitted from the calculation. If, however, the forestry is felled for the development, the effects are judged to be attributable to the wind farm development. Carbon losses as a result of felling are calculated from the area to be felled, the average carbon sequestered annually, and the lifetime of the wind farm. Alterations in soil carbon levels following felling are calculated using the equations for drainage and site restoration already described.

### 10.2.3.3 Calculating Carbon Losses and Savings

#### 10.2.3.3.1 Carbon Losses

The Macauley Institute method for calculating carbon losses from wind farm projects was used to assess the impacts of the proposed renewable energy development in terms of potential carbon losses and savings taking into account peat removal, drainage, habitat improvement and site restoration.

The worksheet made available as part of the ‘*Calculating carbon savings from wind farms on Scottish peat lands*’ report, was downloaded and used to input the necessary data. A copy of this worksheet is provided as Appendix 10-1 of this EIAR. Where available and relevant, site-specific information was inserted into the worksheet. Otherwise, default values were used.

The worksheet was pre-loaded with information specific to the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the United Kingdom’s electricity generation plant, which is used to calculate emissions savings from proposed wind farm projects in the UK. Similar data to that used in the worksheet to calculate the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the UK electricity generation plant, was not available for the Irish electricity generation plant, and so the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions savings from the Proposed Development were calculated separately from the worksheet.

The main CO<sub>2</sub> losses due to the Proposed Development are summarised in Table 10-10.

Table 10-10 CO<sub>2</sub> Losses from the Proposed Development

Origin of Losses	CO <sub>2</sub> Losses (tonnes CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	
	Expected	Maximum
Losses due to turbine life (e.g. manufacture, construction, decommissioning)	26,115	26,273

Losses due to backup	17,798	17,798
Losses due to reduced carbon fixing potential	460	779
Losses from soil organic matter	524	22,638
Losses due to felling forestry	6,463	6,919
<b>Total</b>	<b>51,360</b>	<b>74,407</b>

The worksheet model calculates that the Proposed Development will give rise to 51,360 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent losses over its 30-year life. Of this total figure, the proposed wind turbines directly account for 26,115 tonnes, or 51%. Losses due to backup account for 17,798 tonnes, or 35%. Losses from soil organic matter and reduced carbon fixing potential and the felling of forestry accounting for the remaining 14% or 7,447 tonnes. It should be noted that forestry on the Proposed Development site forms part of a commercial crop, which would be felled in coming years whether the Proposed Development proceeds or not.

The figure of 7,447 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> arising from ground activities associated with the Proposed Development is calculated based on the entire development footprint being “Acid Bog”, as this is one of only two choices the model allows (the other being Fen). The habitat that will be impacted by the development footprint comprises predominantly commercial forestry, rather than the acid bog assumed by the model that gives rise to the 7,447 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub> figure, and therefore the actual CO<sub>2</sub> losses are expected to be lower than this value.

The figures discussed above are based on the assumption that the hydrology of the site and habitats within the site are restored on decommissioning of the Proposed Development after its expected 30-year useful life. As a worst-case scenario, the model was also used to calculate the CO<sub>2</sub> losses from the Proposed Development if the hydrology and habitats of the site were not to be restored, as may be the case if the turbines were replaced with newer models, rather than decommissioned entirely and taking account of the future peat extraction activities. This worst-case scenario would increase the expected carbon losses by an additional 23,047 tonnes, or 45% to 74,407 tonnes. Any failure to restore the site habitats or hydrology for the reasons outlined above would be further offset by the carbon-neutral renewable energy that the new turbines would generate.

#### 10.2.3.3.2 Carbon Savings

According to the model described above, the Proposed Development will give rise to total losses of 51,360 tonnes of carbon dioxide.

A simple formula can be used to calculate carbon dioxide emissions reductions resulting from the generation of electricity from wind power rather than from carbon-based fuels such as peat, coal, gas and oil. The formula is:

$$\text{CO}_2 \text{ (in tonnes)} = \frac{\text{A} \times \text{B} \times \text{C} \times \text{D}}{1000}$$

where: A = ..... The rated capacity of the wind energy development in MW

B = ..... The capacity or load factor, which takes into account the intermittent nature of the wind, the availability of wind turbines and array losses etc.

C = ..... The number of hours in a year

D = ..... Carbon load in grams per kWh (kilowatt hour) of electricity generated and distributed via the national grid.

For the purposes of this calculation, the rated capacity of the Proposed Development is assumed to be 30 MW (based on 7 No. 4.3 MW turbines).

A load factor of 0.35 (or 35%) has been used for the Proposed Development.

The number of hours in a year is 8,760.

The most recent data for the carbon load of electricity generated in Ireland is for 2017, and was published in Sustainable Energy Authority Ireland's (SEAI) December 2018 report, 'Energy in Ireland 2018'. The emission factor for electricity in Ireland in 2017 was 436.6 g CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh.

The calculation for carbon savings is therefore as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CO}_2 \text{ (in tonnes)} &= \frac{(30 \times 0.35 \times 8,760 \times 436.6)}{1000} \\ &= 40,158 \text{ tonnes per annum} \end{aligned}$$

Based on this calculation, 40,158 tonnes of carbon dioxide will be displaced per annum from the largely carbon-based traditional energy mix by the Proposed Development. Over the proposed thirty-year lifetime of the development, therefore, 1,204,750 tonnes of carbon dioxide will be displaced from traditional carbon-based electricity generation.

As noted previously areas cleared of forestry for the Proposed Development will be replaced by replanting at alternatives sites. A total of 41.32 hectares (11.73ha permanently felled, 4.59ha temporarily felled and 25ha of potential turbulence felling) of new forestry will be replanted (both onsite and offsite as detailed in Chapter 4, Section 4.3.10) to compensate for the loss of forestry at the development site. Given that losses due to felling forestry account for 6,463 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>, it has been assumed for the purposes of this calculation that the same quantity of CO<sub>2</sub> can be saved by replanting forestry at alternative sites.

In total, it is estimated that 1,211,213 tonnes of carbon dioxide will be displaced over the proposed thirty-year lifetime of the Proposed Development.

Based on the Macauley Institute model as presented above, 51,360 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> will be lost to the atmosphere due to changes in the peat environment and due to the construction and operation of the Proposed Development. This represents 4.2% of the total amount of carbon dioxide emissions that will be offset by the Proposed Development. The 51,360 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> that will be lost to the atmosphere due to changes in the peat environment and due to the construction and operation of the Proposed Development will be offset by the Proposed Development in approximately 24 months of operation.

## 10.2.4 Likely Significant Effects and Associated Mitigation Measures

### 10.2.4.1 'Do-Nothing' Effect

If the Proposed Development were not to proceed, no changes would be made to the current land-use practice of forestry and the site would continue to be managed under the existing commercial forestry arrangements.

If the Proposed Development were not to proceed, greenhouse gas emissions, e.g. carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), carbon monoxide and nitrogen oxides associated with construction vehicles and plant would not arise. However, the opportunity to further significantly reduce emissions of greenhouse gas emissions, including carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), and sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), to the atmosphere would be lost. The opportunity to contribute to Ireland's commitments under the Kyoto Protocol and EU law would also be lost. This would be a long-term slight negative impact.

## 10.2.4.2 Construction Phase

### 10.2.4.2.1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

#### Turbines and Other Infrastructure

The construction of turbine bases and hardstands, site roads and all associated infrastructure will require the operation of construction vehicles and plant on site. Greenhouse gas emissions, e.g. carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), carbon monoxide and nitrogen oxides associated with vehicles and plant will arise as a result of the construction and demolition activities. This potential impact will be slight, given the insignificant quantity of greenhouse gases that will be emitted, and will be restricted to the duration of the construction phase. Therefore, this is a short-term slight negative impact. Mitigation measures to reduce this impact are presented below.

#### Grid Connection

The planning application assesses the connection of the proposed turbines to the national electricity grid via a proposed new substation which will connect via an underground cable connection, approximately 120m in length, to the existing overhead line.

The construction of this short connection will require minimum works and therefore gives rise to a short term imperceptible negative impact.

#### Transport to Site

The transport of turbines and construction materials to the site, which will occur on specified routes only (see Section 4.4 in Chapter 4 of this EIAR), will also give rise to greenhouse gas emissions associated with the transport vehicles. This constitutes a slight negative impact in terms of air quality. Mitigation measures in relation to greenhouse gas emissions are presented below.

#### Mitigation

- All construction vehicles and plant will be maintained in good operational order while onsite, thereby minimising any emissions that arise.
- Turbines and construction materials will be transported to the site on specified routes only unless otherwise agreed with the Planning Authority.
- The majority of aggregate materials for the construction of the Proposed Development will be obtained from the two proposed borrow pits on the site. This will significantly reduce the number of delivery vehicles accessing the site, thereby reducing the amount of emissions associated with vehicle movements.

#### Residual Impact

Short-term Imperceptible Negative Impact on climate as a result of greenhouse gas emissions.

#### Significance of Effects

Based on the assessment above there will be no significant direct or indirect effects.

## 10.2.4.3 Operational Phase

### 10.2.4.3.1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

The Proposed Development will generate energy from a renewable source. This energy generated will offset energy and the associated emission of greenhouse gases from electricity-generating stations

dependent on fossil fuels, thereby having a positive effect on climate. The Proposed Development will displace carbon dioxide from fossil fuel-based electricity generation, over the proposed 30-year lifespan of the proposed wind farm. The Proposed Development will assist in reducing carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions that would otherwise arise if the same energy that the proposed wind farm will generate were otherwise to be generated by conventional fossil fuel plants. This is a long-term significant positive effect.

Some potential long-term slight negative impacts that may occur during the operational phase of the Proposed Development are the release of small amounts of carbon dioxide to the atmosphere due to the potential alteration to the drainage of the site and the removal of carbon fixing vegetation. These impacts will be slight and will be nullified by the quantity of carbon dioxide that will be displaced by the Proposed Development and by the design and layout of the development, which has ensured the utilisation of as much of the existing roads on site as possible to gain access to the proposed turbine locations and minimise the construction of additional roads through peat-based habitats.

#### Residual Impact

Long-term Moderate Positive Impact on Climate as a result of reduced greenhouse gas emissions.

#### Significance of Effects

Based on the assessment above there will be a direct long-term moderate, positive effect.

#### 10.2.4.4 Decommissioning Phase

Any impact and consequential effect that occurs during the decommissioning phase are similar to that which occur during the construction phase, be it of less impact. The mitigation measures prescribed for the construction phase of the Proposed Development will be implemented during the decommissioning phase thereby minimising any potential impacts.

### 10.3 Cumulative Assessment

Potential cumulative effects on air quality and climate between the Proposed Development and other projects in the vicinity were also considered as part of this assessment. The projects considered as part of the cumulative effect assessment are described in Section 2.7 of this EIAR.

The nature of the Proposed Development is such that, once operational, it will have a long-term, moderate, positive impact on the air quality and climate.

#### Other Wind Farms

During the construction phase of the Proposed Development and other projects described in Section 2.7 that are yet to be constructed, there will be minor emissions from construction plant and machinery and potential dust emissions associated with the construction activities. However, once the mitigation proposals, as outlined in Sections 10.1.5.2 and 10.2.4.2 are implemented during the construction phase of the Proposed Development, there will be no cumulative negative effect on air and climate.

There will be no net carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions from operation of the Curraglass Renewable Energy Development. Emissions of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) or dust emissions during the operational phase of the Proposed Development will be minimal, relating to the use of operation and maintenance vehicles onsite, and therefore there will be no measurable negative cumulative effect with other projects on air quality and climate.

The nature of the Proposed Development and other wind energy developments within 20 kilometres are such that, once operational, they will have a cumulative long-term, significant, positive effect on the air quality and climate.

### Forestry and Replanting

The Proposed Development site is used for commercial forestry. Regular felling operations will continue in conjunction with the Proposed Development. Carbon losses as a result of felling from the Proposed Development as well as additional carbon losses from the commercial forestry felling, may give rise to a short-term slight negative impact which is not significant. As detailed in Section 10.2.3.3.2, all felling anticipated as part of the Proposed Development will be replanted both on and offsite. It is also estimated that 1,211,213 tonnes of carbon dioxide will be displaced over the proposed thirty-year lifetime of the Proposed Development.

When the proposed felling and replanting is considered in combination with the Proposed Development, it is considered that there would be a Long-term Moderate Positive Impact on Climate.

### Existing Site Infrastructure

At present there is an existing substation at the Proposed Development site. The existing substation on site will be subject to decommissioning under the provisions of the previously granted permission and these works have been considered where appropriate in the cumulative assessments.

The decommissioning of the existing substation on site may coincide with the construction and operational period of the Proposed Development. The decommissioning of the existing substation could give rise to exhaust emissions associated with the transport vehicles. This constitutes a slight negative impact in terms of air quality in combination with the Proposed Development.

Furthermore, there is an overhead line connection to the Ballylickey Substation, approximately 12km southwest of the site. ESB may from time to time require access to the site to perform maintenance works to the electrical infrastructure where relevant, this has been cumulatively assessed within the EIAR.

Maintenance works for the overhead line would generate approximately two additional staff on site and could give rise to exhaust emissions associated with additional vehicles entering the site. This constitutes a slight negative impact in terms of air quality in combination with the Proposed Development.

When the mitigation measures detailed in Section 10.1.5.2.1 are implemented, this will result in a Short-term Imperceptible Negative Impact.